

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,625	12/27/2003	Kishore R. Shah	KS4	7504
7590 02/16/2007 Kiahore R. Shah			EXAMINER	
568 Cabot Hill	Road		ROGERS, JAMES WILLIAM	
Bridgewater, NJ 08807			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1618	
			9	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/748,625	SHAH, KISHORE R.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		James W. Rogers, Ph.D.	1618			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a sons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ja	nuary 200 <u>7</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9,12-15,19 and 20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-11 and 16-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•	·					
Attachmen	t(s)					
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atom reproducti			

DETAILED ACTION

The amendments to the claims filed 01/10/2007 have been entered. Any rejection/objection not addressed in the office action below have been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Applicants arguments/remarks filed 12/05/2006 have been fully considered but are not considered persuasive.

Claims 1-8,10-11, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Morrissey et al. (US 6,106,820), for the reasons expressed in the office action dated 08/31/2006.

Applicant assert that Morrissey does not teach a polymer with a hydrophilic backbone and a hydrophobic polystyrene side chain.

The relevance of this assertion is unclear. Morrissey clearly teaches that the backbone can be derived from hydrophilic monomers, hydrophobic monomers or mixtures thereof, some of the preferred monomers that can comprise the backbone includes acrylic acid, dimethylacrylamide, methacrylic acid and mixtures thereof. The examiner notes that all of the examples in applicant's specification included acylic acid and dimethylacrylamide as part of the main chain. Also Morrissey clearly states that the

Art Unit: 1618

side chains can be derived from either hydrophilic or hydrophobic monomers and even list styrene as being a preferred monomer. See col 4 lin 7-col 6 lin 52.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4,6-8,10-11,16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shah (US. 5,942,243, cited by applicant), for the reasons expressed in the office action dated 08/31/2006.

Applicant asserts that Shah does not disclose if the composition within will adhere to the skin.

The relevance of this assertion is unclear. Applicants claims as currently amended do recite a bioadhesive film forming composition, but the examiner disagrees that the composition would not be capable of adhering to the skin. As currently claimed to meet a bioadhesive film-forming composition it must be comprised of a graft copolymer comprised of polystyrene and at least one acidic monomer(s), a water-soluble polymer and hydrophilic and/or hydrophobic carriers. Since Shaw discloses the same composition it will obviously have the same properties and/or effects. It appears that applicants are simply claiming a new use/function or unknown property of an old composition. Where the claimed and prior art products are identical or substantially identical in structure or composition, or are produced by identical or substantially

Application/Control Number: 10/748,625

Art Unit: 1618

identical processes, a prima facie case or either anticipation or obviousness has been established. Thus the claiming of a new use, new function or unknown property which is inherently present in the prior art does not necessarily make the claim patentable. In re Best, 562 F.2d 1252, 1254, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977).

Claims 1-8,10-11,16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shah (US. 5,942,243, cited by applicant) in view of Morrissey et al. (US 6,106,820), for the reasons expressed in the office action dated 08/31/2006.

Applicants state that since the backbone of Morrissey is opposite of the backbone of Shaw that there is no clear indication to combine.

As disclosed above Morrissey does disclose a hydrophilic backbone as in Shaw, therefore they obviously would be combinable with each other, and the use of Morrissey would not counter Shaw.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed at this time.

Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/748,625 Page 5

Art Unit: 1618

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James W. Rogers, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-7838. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Hartley can be reached on (571) 271-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 572-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MICHAEL G. HARTLEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER